

**PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD**

Effective December 8, 2004

10/688,624

**CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I**

(Column 1) (Column 2)

TOTAL CLAIMS			
FOR		NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS		minus 20 =	*
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS		minus 3 =	*
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT			<input type="checkbox"/>

\* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2

*Amend 1/14/05* **CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II**

(Column 1) (Column 2) (Column 3)

AMENDMENT A	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
				RATE ADDI- TIONAL FEE
Total	* 41	Minus	** 41	= <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Independent	* 5	Minus	*** 4	= <input type="checkbox"/>
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>				

SMALL ENTITY  
TYPE

RATE	FEES	RATE	FEES
BASIC FEE	150.00	OR BASIC FEE	300.00
X\$ 25=		OR X\$50=	
X100=		OR X200=	
+180=		OR +360=	
TOTAL		OR TOTAL	

OTHER THAN  
SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
X\$ 25=		OR X\$50=	
X100=		OR X200=	\$200.00
+180=		OR +360=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	\$200.00

AMENDMENT B	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
				RATE ADDI- TIONAL FEE
Total	*	Minus	**	=
Independent	*	Minus	***	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>				

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
X\$ 25=		OR X\$50=	
X100=		OR X200=	
+180=		OR +360=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

AMENDMENT C	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
				RATE ADDI- TIONAL FEE
Total	*	Minus	**	=
Independent	*	Minus	***	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>				

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
X\$ 25=		OR X\$50=	
X100=		OR X200=	
+180=		OR +360=	

**Best Available Copy**

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

In re Application of:

JAN 14 2005

Young et al.

Serial No.: 10/688,624

Group Art Unit: 3644

Filed: October 17, 2003

Examiner: Dinh, Tien Quang

For: AIRCRAFT ARCHWAY ARCHITECTURE

Attorney Docket No.: BOE 0432 PA

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) 872-9306 (Centralized Fax No.) on 1/14/2005.

R. Scott VincentSignature: AMENDMENT and REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 CFR §1.116

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants submit this Amendment and Request for Reconsideration in response to the Final Office Action dated November 16, 2004. This response is timely because it is being filed within the first two months of the three-month time period allowed for a response.

10/688,624

14

BOE 0432 PA

Claims 17, 18, 27, and 28 are also believed to be allowable since they are dependent from independent claim 14. Furthermore, claims 17, 18, 27, and 28 each require a portion of the support system conduits are also positioned in or passed through the archway member. The cited references fail to teach or suggest a portion of the support system conduits are positioned in or passed through the archway member. Furthermore, the Examiner has failed to show or suggest how it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of the *Brill* and *Robillard* references with the teachings of the *Alie* and the *Flamand* references to have a portion of the support system conduits positioned in or passed through the archway member.

Claims 22 and 23 are also believed to be allowable since they are dependent from independent claim 14. Furthermore, claim 22 requires the archway member is utilized as a divider to separate the passenger portion into two separate sections. Likewise, claim 23 requires the archway member is utilized as a divider to separate the passenger portion from at least one flight service portion. The *Alie* reference teaches sets of –panel members – to act as divider to separate portions. The *Alie* reference fails to teach or suggest archway members utilized as a divider. Furthermore, the Examiner has failed to show or suggest how it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the teachings of the *Alie* reference as presupposed.

Claims 32 and 33 are also believed allowable for the same rational set forth above regarding claims 14-30.

Accordingly, in view of the foregoing Remarks, the Applicants submit that claims 1-41 are allowable and in a proper condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance indicating the same is therefore earnestly solicited.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the Applicants' undersigned attorney at (248) 223-9500 if any unresolved matters remain.

Please charge any fees required in the filing of this amendment to Deposit Account 50-0476.

10/688,624

15

BOE 0432 PA

Respectfully submitted,  
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C.



R. Scott Vincent (Reg. No. 55,771)  
28333 Telegraph Road, Suite 250  
Southfield, MI 48034  
(248) 223-9500

Date: 1/13/2005